

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/626,328	07/23/2003	Udo Schutz	PR-47	1350
7590 10/13/2005		EXAMINER		
Friedrich Kueffner			BASTIANELLI, JOHN	
Suite 910 317 Madison Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10017			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ر ۵				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/626,328	SCHUTZ, UDO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	John Bastianelli	3751				
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 165	September 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 is/are: a	)∐ accepted or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		Atau Na				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
		reu iii tiiis ivationai Stage				
application from the International Bure		had				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	a or the certified copies not receiv	cu.				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: \_\_

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

The RCE filed August 1, 2005 is accepted and the claims filed September 16, 2005 are 1. examined below.

# Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every 2. feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "recess" in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,328 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 does not make sense as a "recess" is not defined in the specification or drawings and the examiner is taking this to mean any recess as the applicant has not provided proper support and possibly new matter.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lay US 6,302,132 in view of Erickson US 5,606,992.

Lay discloses a tapping valve constructed as a ball valve 120 having a valve housing 130 with an inlet connection connectable to a liquid container (suggested use) and an outlet connection 130 that is welded to a male part of a quick disconnect coupling 140 (seen as a male part as there is not a female part claimed and anything can be considered quick disconnect) for connecting a discharge hose (suggested use) with the valve housing and coupling made of high density polyethylene (col. 5, lines 28-35 and col. 6, lines 49-52). Lay lacks the polyethylene of the coupling being reinforced with glass fibers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make both the valve housing and coupling

of Lay as glass fiber-reinforced as taught by Erickson in order to make both pieces stronger and the glass provides rapid crystallization during the molding process. The outlet connection is seen as having a recess.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not 6. persuasive. The only argument is that applicant submits that neither Lay or Erickson nor their combination shows the claim presently on file. The examiner reiterates that the claim is met by Lay in view of Erickson and that new matter has been introduced into the claim unless the applicant can show otherwise.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,328

Art Unit: 3751

September 28, 2005

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751 Page 5

/ Art Unit 3 /